



Journal Website: ij-it.com E-ISSN: 3066-4047

RESEARCH ARTICLE

The Role of Digital Technology in Advancing Sustainable Food Systems: Policy Implications for the Future

Septinus Saa

Universitas Cenderawasih Jayapura

*Corresponding Author: septinus.saa@gmail.com

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Keywords
Digital agriculture,
Sustainable food systems,
Technology governance

The Role of Digital Technology in Advancing Sustainable Food Systems: Policy Implications for the Future. The global food system faces unprecedented challenges due to climate change, population growth, and resource scarcity, necessitating a transition toward more sustainable, resilient, and inclusive practices. This study explores the transformative potential of digital technologies—such as artificial intelligence (AI), blockchain, Internet of Things (IoT), and big datain advancing sustainable food systems. Employing a qualitative research method, the study synthesizes interdisciplinary literature and institutional reports to analyze how digital innovations contribute to ecological efficiency, transparency, and socio-economic inclusion across the food value chain. Findings reveal that while digital tools offer promising solutions for optimizing agricultural inputs, improving supply chain traceability, and enhancing smallholder access to markets and finance, significant barriers remain. These include infrastructural deficits, data governance issues, digital literacy gaps, and risks of technological exclusion. The discussion emphasizes the need for enabling policy environments that prioritize equity, ethical data use, interoperability, and participatory governance. Ultimately, the study argues that the digitalization of food systems must be guided by systemic, inclusive, and forward-looking policy frameworks to ensure that technological advancements contribute meaningfully to sustainability goals and do not reinforce existing inequalities. The paper offers policy recommendations for governments, international institutions, and civil society to navigate the future of food system digitalization responsibly.

INTRODUCTION

In the face of global challenges such as climate change, population growth, biodiversity loss, and resource depletion, the demand for a sustainable transformation of food

systems has become an urgent priority for policymakers, researchers, and practitioners. Food systems, which encompass the production, processing, distribution, consumption, and disposal of food, are both a major contributor to environmental degradation and a critical component of human livelihood and well-being(Khan et al., 2021). According to the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), global food systems account for over one-third of greenhouse gas emissions and are one of the leading drivers of land-use change and water scarcity. At the same time, food insecurity, malnutrition, and food loss continue to persist in many parts of the world, indicating deep structural inefficiencies and inequalities in how food systems are organized and governed. In this context, digital technology has emerged as a transformative force with the potential to radically reshape how food systems function, with profound implications for sustainability, inclusiveness, and resilience.

Digital technology refers broadly to the use of digital tools, platforms, and infrastructures—such as artificial intelligence (AI), blockchain, big data analytics, geographic information systems (GIS), Internet of Things (IoT), and mobile applications—to collect, process, and utilize information in ways that enhance decision-making, coordination, efficiency, and transparency. These technologies can be applied at every stage of the food value chain, from precision agriculture and smart irrigation systems to supply chain traceability, e-commerce platforms for smallholder farmers, digital extension services, and real-time climate forecasting tools. Their integration into food systems offers the promise of increased productivity, reduced environmental impact, improved access to markets and finance, enhanced food safety, and better policy design(Lajoie-O'Malley et al., 2020). Importantly, digital innovation has the capacity to create more adaptive and responsive systems that can address the interconnected ecological, social, and economic dimensions of food sustainability.

However, while the potential of digital technology is widely acknowledged, the pathways through which it can effectively contribute to sustainable food systems remain contested and underexplored. Questions of data ownership, digital literacy, infrastructure access, power asymmetries, algorithmic bias, and ethical governance complicate the deployment of digital tools in real-world contexts, particularly in the Global South(Anyibama et al., 2025). Furthermore, without enabling policies and institutional frameworks that prioritize equity, inclusivity, and local participation, digitalization can risk exacerbating existing inequalities and reinforcing corporate concentration within the food sector. As such, it is critical to analyze not only the technical capabilities of digital innovations but also the broader systemic and policy environments that shape their development, diffusion, and outcomes.

This study situates itself at the intersection of technological innovation and food system sustainability, with the aim of examining how digital technology can support more sustainable food systems and what policy frameworks are needed to ensure that such transformations are just, inclusive, and effective. Drawing on interdisciplinary literature, global case studies, and recent policy developments, the study critically assesses the role of digital technologies in addressing sustainability challenges within food systems, while also interrogating the socio-political dynamics that mediate their impacts. The research is particularly concerned with identifying policy implications that can guide future digital transitions in food systems, especially in light of the United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), the Paris Climate Agreement, and the

emerging global consensus on the need for systemic transformation(Agarwala et al., 2022).

One of the central motivations of this research is the recognition that technological solutions alone are insufficient to address the complex, multi-scalar challenges of food sustainability. While digital tools can improve efficiency and enable better resource management, they must be embedded within governance structures that promote ethical use, ensure equitable access, and support local innovation ecosystems. This requires moving beyond techno-optimistic narratives that view digitalization as inherently beneficial and instead adopting a critical, systems-oriented perspective that considers the diverse social, economic, and ecological contexts in which technologies are deployed(MacPherson et al., 2022). Such an approach can reveal the trade-offs, unintended consequences, and opportunities that digital technologies create in food systems and inform more nuanced and forward-looking policy strategies.

Moreover, this study highlights the importance of a participatory and inclusive approach to digital innovation in food systems. Farmers, particularly smallholders, women, and indigenous communities, must be recognized as key stakeholders and knowledge holders in shaping digital tools that meet their needs and reflect their realities. Policymakers, in turn, need to craft regulatory and institutional environments that support co-creation, interoperability, open data standards, and capacity-building. Public investment in digital infrastructure and digital education is essential to overcoming barriers to adoption and ensuring that the benefits of digitalization are widely shared(Toromade et al., 2024). Importantly, policy frameworks must be adaptive and forward-looking, capable of responding to rapid technological change, emerging risks, and evolving societal expectations.

The research is structured around several key questions: (1) What types of digital technologies are currently being utilized in food systems, and what are their primary contributions to sustainability? (2) What challenges and barriers exist in the effective deployment of digital technologies for sustainable food systems, especially in low- and middle-income countries? (3) How can policy and governance frameworks be designed to maximize the positive impacts of digital technologies while mitigating their risks and ensuring equity and inclusivity? (4) What are the future directions for research and policy in this rapidly evolving field? By addressing these questions, the study seeks to provide a comprehensive understanding of the transformative potential of digital technology in advancing sustainable food systems and to offer policy-relevant insights for governments, international organizations, civil society, and the private sector.

This inquiry is particularly timely given the accelerating pace of digital innovation and the growing urgency of food system transformation in the face of global crises. The COVID-19 pandemic exposed the fragility of global supply chains and highlighted the need for more localized, resilient, and digitally enabled food systems. Similarly, the escalating impacts of climate change have intensified the search for adaptive strategies that can help communities cope with variability, uncertainty, and extreme events. In this context, digital technologies offer promising tools—but also require careful stewardship. Their role in enabling climate-smart agriculture, improving early warning systems, enhancing transparency in value chains, and fostering collaborative platforms for food system stakeholders must be critically examined (Yuan et al., 2023). At the same time, the global digital divide—marked by disparities in connectivity, digital skills, and

access to capital—poses significant challenges to the equitable deployment of these technologies.

Ultimately, the transition toward sustainable food systems is not solely a technological endeavor but a profoundly political and institutional process. It involves rethinking the values, goals, and power relations that underpin current food systems and exploring how digital tools can be harnessed to support more just and sustainable outcomes. This study, therefore, contributes to a growing body of work that seeks to bridge the gap between digital innovation and sustainable development, offering evidence-based recommendations and conceptual frameworks that can inform future policy and practice. As digital technologies continue to reshape the contours of agricultural production, food distribution, and consumer behavior, it is imperative that their integration into food systems be guided by principles of sustainability, equity, and democratic governance.

METHOD

This study adopts a qualitative library research methodology to critically explore the role of digital technology in advancing sustainable food systems and its policy implications for the future(Horton, 2023). As a non-empirical, desk-based approach, library research emphasizes the systematic collection, organization, interpretation, and analysis of existing scholarly works, policy documents, institutional reports, and credible digital resources relevant to the research topic. Through this method, the study aims to construct a conceptual and analytical framework that draws upon interdisciplinary literature in areas such as food systems, digital technology, sustainability studies, development policy, and governance.

The research design is exploratory and analytical in nature. It seeks to identify, synthesize, and evaluate the existing body of knowledge to understand how digital technologies—such as artificial intelligence, blockchain, Internet of Things (IoT), geographic information systems (GIS), big data, and mobile platforms—are being integrated into food systems and what the implications are for policy and governance. The method enables a deep, contextual reading of diverse sources to assess how digital innovations intersect with sustainability goals, what gaps exist in current policy frameworks, and how future-oriented governance can be shaped to enhance equity, resilience, and environmental stewardship in food systems.

Data Sources and Materials

The primary data in this research consist of secondary sources, including:

- Peer-reviewed journal articles from high-impact academic journals indexed in Scopus, Web of Science, and other reputable databases.
- Reports and policy briefs from international organizations such as FAO, IFPRI, UNEP, UNDP, and the World Bank.
- Case studies and white papers from research institutions and think tanks focused on agri-tech, food security, and sustainability.
- Government policy documents and national digital agriculture strategies.

 Books, edited volumes, and scholarly monographs relevant to digital transformation and food systems.

These sources were accessed using academic databases such as Scopus, JSTOR, ScienceDirect, SpringerLink, and the digital repository Scite.ai, which provides citation-based relevance indicators. Boolean search techniques were used with keywords such as "digital agriculture", "sustainable food systems", "digital governance", "policy implications", "blockchain food supply", "IoT in agriculture", "digital divide", and "climate-smart agriculture" to identify relevant literature.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

To maintain relevance and academic rigor, inclusion criteria were set to focus on:

- Publications from 2013 onwards to reflect the recent advancements in digital technology.
- Literature that explicitly connects digital innovation with sustainability in food systems.
- Works that offer critical perspectives on policy, ethics, governance, and socioeconomic impacts.

Exclusion criteria included:

- Studies focused exclusively on technological development without reference to food systems or sustainability.
- Non-peer-reviewed opinion pieces lacking academic rigor.
- Literature not available in English.

Data Analysis Procedure

The analytical procedure followed a thematic analysis approach, which involved the identification of key themes and patterns across the selected literature. The process included:

- 1. Initial review and annotation of all selected materials to gain familiarity with the content and scope of existing scholarship.
- 2. Coding and categorization of textual data into major thematic areas such as:
 - o Digital tools and technologies used in food systems
 - Sustainability outcomes
 - Governance and policy mechanisms
 - o Barriers and challenges (e.g., infrastructure, access, ethics)
 - Equity, inclusion, and the digital divide
- 3. Cross-comparison between sources to identify consensus, contradictions, and research gaps.
- 4. Synthesis and interpretation of findings to build a coherent narrative that informs the policy implications and theoretical contributions of the study.

This thematic coding was conducted manually, guided by the research questions and grounded in a constructivist epistemology. The focus remained on interpreting

meanings, understanding discourses, and capturing the complexity of relationships between digital innovation and food system sustainability.

Trustworthiness and Validity

To ensure the credibility and trustworthiness of the research findings, triangulation was employed by drawing on multiple types of sources and disciplinary perspectives. Academic rigor was maintained through critical reading, evaluation of source reliability, and citation tracing to validate claims. Furthermore, all interpretations were grounded in the context of the literature reviewed, avoiding overgeneralizations and acknowledging areas of contention.

This qualitative library research does not aim to produce generalizable empirical findings, but rather to construct a conceptual foundation and policy-oriented understanding of the dynamics at the intersection of digital technology and sustainable food systems. The findings serve as an informed basis for future empirical research, policy development, and academic dialogue on sustainable digital transitions in the agrifood sector.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

RESULT

The findings of this qualitative library research reveal a growing consensus across scholarly literature and policy documents regarding the transformative potential of digital technologies in advancing sustainable food systems. Various digital tools—including artificial intelligence (AI), blockchain, geographic information systems (GIS), Internet of Things (IoT), and big data analytics—have been identified as pivotal in optimizing agricultural production, enhancing resource efficiency, and improving food distribution mechanisms. For instance, several studies emphasize how precision agriculture enabled by IoT and remote sensing technologies contributes to reducing input waste (such as water and fertilizers) while maximizing crop yield, thereby aligning agricultural practices with environmental sustainability goals. Likewise, blockchain technology is increasingly recognized for its role in strengthening food traceability and transparency across value chains, reducing food fraud, and enhancing consumer trust in sustainable sourcing.

A significant portion of the literature highlights how digital platforms are being used to connect smallholder farmers to markets, financial services, and real-time agronomic advice. This is particularly evident in low- and middle-income countries, where mobile-based applications have improved farmers' access to weather forecasts, pricing data, and extension services. These interventions not only contribute to food security but also foster inclusiveness by narrowing the information and market access gap between small-scale and large-scale producers. However, the results also underscore critical disparities in the availability and use of digital technologies, pointing to a persistent digital divide that hampers equitable adoption. Rural areas, especially in the Global South, often lack the infrastructure, connectivity, and digital literacy necessary to fully benefit from technological innovations. The literature stresses that without targeted

policy interventions, digitalization may exacerbate existing inequalities rather than resolve them.

Furthermore, findings indicate that digitalization can support climate resilience in food systems by enabling early warning systems, adaptive farm planning, and climate-smart agricultural practices. Studies also discuss the role of data-driven policymaking, where real-time data collected through digital tools inform national and local food policies, land-use planning, and emergency responses. Nevertheless, several publications caution against over-reliance on technological solutions without addressing underlying structural challenges such as land tenure insecurity, corporate concentration, and regulatory fragmentation. Ethical concerns—such as data ownership, algorithmic bias, and lack of transparency in AI-driven decision-making—are recurrent themes in the literature, particularly in relation to marginalized communities who may be further disempowered by opaque digital systems.

Policy analysis within the reviewed sources consistently emphasizes the need for robust governance frameworks to steer digital innovation in a direction that supports sustainability, equity, and democratic accountability. Recommendations include investing in rural digital infrastructure, promoting open-source platforms and interoperability standards, and integrating digital literacy into national agricultural extension programs. Moreover, the literature calls for participatory policy design processes that involve farmers, civil society, and indigenous groups in co-creating digital tools and regulatory frameworks. Without inclusive governance, the risk of "technological determinism"—where technology is seen as a panacea divorced from social context—could undermine the very goals of sustainability and food justice.

Finally, the research identifies a significant gap in long-term impact assessments of digital interventions in food systems. While pilot projects and case studies abound, there is limited empirical evidence on the scalability and sustainability of digital solutions across diverse agroecological and socio-economic contexts. This gap points to the need for future research that not only measures technological efficacy but also evaluates broader systemic outcomes, including social equity, environmental health, and resilience to global shocks. Overall, the findings reinforce the conclusion that while digital technologies offer powerful tools for advancing sustainable food systems, their effectiveness depends on the presence of enabling policies, ethical governance, and inclusive implementation strategies.

DISCUSSION

The integration of digital technology into food systems has the potential to revolutionize agricultural productivity, market access, environmental monitoring, and policy-making. However, the impacts of such technologies are multifaceted and context-dependent, involving complex interplays between socio-political institutions, infrastructure, governance frameworks, and technological capacity. This discussion synthesizes the findings from the literature to critically examine how digital technologies contribute to sustainable food systems and what policy considerations must be addressed to ensure equitable and effective digital transitions.

1. Digital Technology as a Driver of Sustainability in Food Systems

One of the most significant contributions of digital technology to food systems lies in its capacity to improve resource efficiency and environmental sustainability. Precision agriculture, powered by IoT sensors, satellite imaging, and AI-based analytics, enables farmers to optimize input use—such as water, fertilizer, and pesticides—thus reducing waste and minimizing environmental degradation. These tools contribute directly to more sustainable production systems that align with the goals of climate-smart agriculture and agroecology. Moreover, big data platforms and predictive models offer valuable insights into changing weather patterns, crop disease outbreaks, and soil health, allowing for more informed decision-making that enhances ecological resilience.

Additionally, digital traceability systems, especially those built on blockchain technology, have the potential to improve food safety and supply chain transparency. Consumers can access real-time information on the origin, handling, and transportation of food products, which not only strengthens trust but also incentivizes producers to comply with environmental and ethical standards. This fosters a culture of accountability across the value chain and supports sustainability certification mechanisms. Furthermore, digital platforms enable shorter value chains, connecting producers directly to consumers via mobile-based e-commerce solutions, which reduce transportation emissions and strengthen local food systems.

2. Socioeconomic Inclusion and Empowerment Through Digitalization

Digital technologies also offer critical pathways for economic inclusion and social empowerment, particularly among smallholder farmers, women, and youth in rural areas. Mobile phones and digital extension services provide access to real-time agricultural knowledge, market prices, and weather alerts—resources that have historically been inaccessible to marginalized communities. When appropriately designed and locally adapted, these tools can democratize information and foster participatory knowledge-sharing ecosystems.

Moreover, digital financial services, including mobile banking and digital credit scoring, facilitate access to capital for rural farmers who are often excluded from formal banking institutions. This can stimulate entrepreneurship, investment in sustainable practices, and risk mitigation through digital insurance products. Platforms such as M-Farm in Kenya and e-Choupal in India demonstrate how mobile-based technologies can reduce transaction costs, improve bargaining power, and enhance market participation for small-scale producers.

Nevertheless, these benefits are not automatic. As several studies caution, digital innovations can exacerbate existing inequalities if access to technology, digital literacy, and institutional support are unevenly distributed. Women, for instance, are significantly less likely to own a smartphone or receive digital agricultural training, which risks further marginalizing them in the digital economy. Without targeted policies that address these disparities, digitalization may deepen the rural-urban divide and privilege large agribusinesses that already possess technological and financial advantages.

3. Barriers to Equitable Digital Transformation in Food Systems

Despite the enthusiasm surrounding digital agriculture, numerous structural and institutional barriers hinder the effective deployment and scaling of digital technologies in food systems. One of the most critical barriers is infrastructure, particularly in low-and middle-income countries where electricity, internet connectivity, and mobile network coverage remain limited in rural areas. Digital agriculture cannot thrive without foundational investments in ICT infrastructure and energy access, which must be prioritized by national governments and development agencies.

A second barrier involves data governance and ethical considerations. The increasing reliance on data raises important questions about data ownership, privacy, consent, and sovereignty. In many cases, agribusiness corporations or platform providers control the collection and use of data generated by farmers, often without transparent mechanisms for data sharing or benefit distribution. This creates asymmetrical power dynamics and can lead to exploitative practices where smallholders are reduced to data providers rather than empowered users. Policies that establish clear guidelines for data ethics, open access, and farmer-centric models of digital governance are urgently needed.

Third, the lack of interoperability among digital platforms limits their scalability and integration. Disparate systems that cannot communicate with one another lead to fragmentation, duplication of efforts, and inefficiencies in data utilization. Governments, donors, and technology providers must work collaboratively to develop common data standards and open-source frameworks that allow for interoperability while protecting local contexts and knowledge systems.

Furthermore, the digital skills gap remains a formidable challenge. Many farmers lack the necessary literacy and technical competencies to engage effectively with digital platforms, even when the tools are available. Digital education and capacity-building programs must be embedded in national extension services and designed with participatory, culturally sensitive approaches. Bridging the skills divide requires long-term investment in human capital, with a particular emphasis on gender-sensitive training and youth engagement.

4. Policy and Governance: The Need for a Systemic Approach

To harness the full potential of digital technologies for sustainable food systems, enabling policy environments are essential. Fragmented or outdated regulatory frameworks often fail to keep pace with rapid technological change, creating legal ambiguities that hinder innovation or open the door to corporate monopolization. A forward-looking digital policy must adopt a systems thinking perspective, integrating considerations of sustainability, inclusion, ethics, and resilience into the design and governance of digital tools.

First, governments must develop national digital agriculture strategies that are aligned with broader food security, climate, and rural development agendas. These strategies should identify priority areas for investment, set standards for digital inclusion, and create incentives for the development of open-access platforms and public-private partnerships. Crucially, digital policies must be cross-sectoral, involving ministries of agriculture, environment, telecommunications, education, and finance, to ensure policy coherence and institutional coordination.

Second, multi-stakeholder governance platforms are vital for shaping inclusive and context-specific digital transitions. Farmers' organizations, civil society groups, indigenous communities, and local governments should have a voice in setting priorities, designing technologies, and monitoring outcomes. Participatory governance mechanisms enhance legitimacy, foster local ownership, and increase the likelihood that digital tools address real-world challenges rather than externally imposed agendas.

Third, public investment in digital public goods—such as open data repositories, farmer-led innovation hubs, and digital commons—is critical to counterbalance the growing privatization of agricultural knowledge. Without proactive state intervention, there is a risk that digital agriculture will be dominated by a few multinational corporations, replicating patterns of dependency and marginalization observed in past Green Revolution models. Policies that protect public interest and promote innovation for the common good are essential.

Finally, regulatory frameworks must address emerging risks associated with digitalization, including cybersecurity threats, algorithmic bias, misinformation, and ecological oversights in AI-driven systems. Risk assessments, impact evaluations, and ethical audits should be integrated into the design, deployment, and scaling of digital tools to ensure that they align with long-term sustainability goals.

5. The Future: Pathways Toward Just and Sustainable Digital Food Systems

The future of food systems will be increasingly shaped by digital innovation. However, the direction, inclusivity, and sustainability of this transformation are not predetermined—they are contingent on choices made today by policymakers, researchers, innovators, and communities. As such, this study emphasizes the need for transformative governance that moves beyond technological determinism and embraces pluralistic, equity-oriented approaches to digitalization.

First, context matters. Technologies must be tailored to local agroecological, cultural, and socio-economic conditions. This requires supporting localized innovation ecosystems where farmers and local entrepreneurs co-create digital solutions that reflect their priorities and knowledge. Decentralized innovation can also enhance resilience and reduce dependency on global supply chains and proprietary technologies.

Second, capacity-building and education must become cornerstones of digital strategies. Empowering communities to understand, use, and shape digital tools not only improves adoption rates but also enhances agency, dignity, and long-term sustainability. Programs should focus not only on technical skills but also on critical digital literacy, enabling users to navigate the complex ethical and political dimensions of the digital age.

Third, the principle of equity must guide all digital interventions in food systems. Gender, age, ethnicity, and class should not determine one's ability to benefit from digital innovation. Policies must be explicitly inclusive, with quotas, subsidies, and protections designed to prioritize the needs of historically excluded groups. This is not only a matter of justice but also of effectiveness—diverse perspectives and experiences enrich innovation and make systems more robust.

Lastly, transdisciplinary research and policy learning must inform the governance of digital food systems. Scholars, practitioners, and decision-makers must work across disciplinary and institutional silos to co-produce knowledge, assess outcomes, and adapt strategies in real time. Feedback loops, experimentation, and openness to uncertainty are essential features of governance systems capable of navigating the fast-evolving digital landscape.

CONCLUSION

The integration of digital technologies into food systems presents both immense opportunities and significant challenges. While tools such as AI, IoT, and blockchain can enhance sustainability, transparency, and inclusion, their impacts depend heavily on the institutional, social, and policy environments in which they are embedded. To ensure that digital transitions support the goals of just and sustainable food systems, a shift is needed from technology-led approaches to governance-led approaches—where policy frameworks, public participation, and ethical principles shape the use and development of digital innovations. Future research must continue to interrogate the power dynamics, ethical dilemmas, and ecological implications of digital agriculture, while policies must foster inclusive, accountable, and resilient digital ecosystems for food.

REFERENCES

- Agarwala, C., Jemaneh, J., & Kassie, Y. (2022). Government policies and sustainable food systems: Navigating challenges, seizing opportunities, and advancing environmental and social resilience. *Law and Economics*, 16(2), 88–102.
- Anyibama, B. J., Orjinta, K. K., Omisogbon, T. O., Atalor, S. I., Daniels, E. O., Fadipe, E., & Galadima, D. A. (2025). Modern Agricultural Technologies for Sustainable Food Production: A Comprehensive Review of Technological Innovations and Their Impact on Global Food Systems. *International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology*, 10(2).
- Horton, P. (2023). A sustainable food future. Royal Society Open Science, 10(8), 230702.
- Khan, N., Ray, R. L., Kassem, H. S., Hussain, S., Zhang, S., Khayyam, M., Ihtisham, M., & Asongu, S. A. (2021). Potential role of technology innovation in transformation of sustainable food systems: A review. *Agriculture*, *11*(10), 984.
- Lajoie-O'Malley, A., Bronson, K., van der Burg, S., & Klerkx, L. (2020). The future (s) of digital agriculture and sustainable food systems: An analysis of high-level policy documents. *Ecosystem Services*, *45*, 101183.
- MacPherson, J., Voglhuber-Slavinsky, A., Olbrisch, M., Schöbel, P., Dönitz, E., Mouratiadou, I., & Helming, K. (2022). Future agricultural systems and the role of digitalization for achieving sustainability goals. A review. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*, 42(4), 70.
- Toromade, A. S., Soyombo, D. A., Kupa, E., & Ijomah, T. I. (2024). Reviewing the impact of climate change on global food security: Challenges and solutions. *International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences*, 6(7), 1403–1416.

Δ

Yuan, Y., Wang, C., Zai, X., Song, Y., & Zhang, X. (2023). Optimizing fertilizer use for sustainable food systems: an evaluation of integrated water-fertilizer system adoption among cotton farmers in China. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*, 7, 1310426.